

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/549,279	04/14/2000	Masaki Ichihara	P/2291-85	9019	
75	90 12/14/2004	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Steven I. Weis	Steven I. Weisburd			CHANG, EDITH M	
Dickstein, Shapiro, Morin & Oshinsky LLP					
1177 Avenue of The Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
41st Floor			2637	2637	
New York, NY 10036-2714			DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/549,279	ICHIHARA, MASAKI				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Edith M Chang	2637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 28 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) Properties on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>3 and 7-11</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-6 and 12-14</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 102804.						
10. Other:						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/549,279

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant argues that the cited references do not present a control data generator for generating control data from a frequency difference between a frequency band and the predetermined frequency band including a phase data generator for generating phase data from the frequency difference cited in the claims 1, 5,12, 13 and 14.

First, in Claim 2 line 6 and Claim 6 line 3, "a phase data generator" does not clearly indicated that it is another phase data generator or the same phase data generator of the "a phase data generator" included in the control data generator cited in claims 1 and 5. According to the teaching of the specification and the disclosure of drawings, there is no such phase data generator(s) included in the control data generator as cited in the claims.

Second, the amended limitation "a phase data generator for generating phase data from the frequency difference" in claims 1, 5, and 12; and the amended limitation "and phase data" in claims 13 and 14 are not inventions, wherein it is well known that the frequency difference such as from the Numerically Controlled Oscillator (NCO) of the control signal generator inherently comprising a phase data generator converting/generating the phase data from the frequency difference as taught in the cited reference Natalie (FIGURE 8 NCO 32) and Hellberg (FIG 3 NCO 340).

YOUNG T. TSE